SECRET

July 23, 1962.

70: S/B - Mr. Bohlen

MR - Richard H. Davis FROM:

SUBJECT: Position to be Taken on Berlin in Talks with Grosyko

at Geneva

 We agree fully with the Secretary's comment (Secto 13) that it is most important for us to stand absolutely firm on the question of withdrawal of Western troops from Berlin. Any ambiguity from our side on this point would encourage the USSR toward accepting the risks of a separate peace treaty, which we believe the Soviets are still very reluctant to undertake. We agree also with the point in Secto 13 that a firm stand now will make the USSR more cautious about the accompanying measures on access controls if they do nevertheless decide to go shead with the peace treaty.

2. It may be useful to repeat to Gromyko that signature of a separate USR-GIR peace treaty is not a material consideration with us. What is important, and this the USSR should clearly understand, is that neither a separate treaty nor any ether action to which we have not consented can decrive us of our Berlin rights and we intend to continue the exercise of those rights whether a treaty is signed or not.

3. We think it would be useful to press Gromyko further (Secto 25) as to what in concrete terms will happen to access controls if the USSR signs a peace treaty with the GIR. This question, perhaps more than any other point we could make, will compel the USSR to face up to the potentialities of nuclear war inherent in any Soviet or GIR blockage of access. We hepe that the Secretary will not mince words in indicating that the West is prepared to use force in order to maintain its access to Berlin.

4. We note that Gromyko mentioned repeatedly that Berlin is a HATO base. His insistence on this point might offer some loophole. In order to continue to offer the USSR a prestige-saving

escape



EUR: SOV: EH Henry; erk

OFFICE OF STATE A CICIOMR
OFFICE OF CONTROL
OFFI

نع D



-2-

scape from their cul-de-sac the Secretary might explore still further Group's reaction to the possibility of distinguishing the troops in Berlin from "MATO ferces" or "a MATO base".

- 5. It might be useful for the Secretary to cite Enrushchev's remarks to the Austrians that he did not fear war ever the cetting of our military access to Berlin because we had not reacted violently to the Wall and point out very clearly that the Wall did not violate Western vital interests in Berlin while cutting our military access definitely would.
- 6. We suspect the Sowiets have not yet definitely made up their minds as to their future course of action. At present they seem to be attempting to put on the maximum amount of pressure with threats of a separate peace treaty through every possible channel (although dromyto has handled this somewhat cautiously) to see what is the maximum they can get through a prior agreement with the West. We suspect that only when they think they have the maximum offer from the West will they weigh this against what they think they could get by means of the peace treaty with no prior agreement and then choose the course which seems to offer the moet.

EUR: SOW: DERICATE ; exk EUR: (2BC: FEU-sah, V. ; 7/23/62